



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/883,585 06/18/2001		6/18/2001	James H. Jen	MICR0246	1233
27792	7590	10/04/2005		EXAM	INER
		ORATION	NGUYEN, THU HA T		
		DNALD M. ANDER N.E., SUITE 507	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVUE, WA 98004				2155	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K							
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/883,585	JEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A STREET OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE	ATION.  Ily be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<i>uly 2005</i> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	·						
	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	🗂						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/883,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims **1-32** are presented for examination.

## **Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 13, 2005 has been entered.

## **Response to Arguments**

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- 4. Applicant argues that Hayes does not teach or suggest the feature of receiving personal information from a user. In response to applicant's argument examiner asserts that Hayes does teach the step of receiving personal information from a user as shown in col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 30, col. 6, lines 57-col. 8, lines 5, col. 19, lines 18-26 [the server receives user's modified profile from the user].
- 5. Applicant argues that Gupta does not teach or suggest the user records being accessible by a plurality of application programs. In response to applicant's argument, examiner asserts that Gupta does teach the feature of login, client's profiles

Application/Control Number: 09/883,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

or profile services can be shared/accessed by multiple applications as shown in col. 7, lines 6-18, col. 12, line 48-col. 13, line 14, col. 18, lines 22-46.

- 6. Applicant argues that Hayes does not teach or suggest the personal information is applied to customize an output of the application program. In response to applicant's argument, examiner asserts that Hayes does teach the feature of the personal information is applied to customize an output of the application program as shown in abstract, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 5, col. 11, line 66-col. 12, lines 67, col. 13, line 1-col. 14, line 67, col. 15, lines 37-58 [there is interactions between client and server to where the code for desktop applet is loaded to the client from the server. The desktop object needs its preference information (i.e., configuration information) so it can tailor the desktop objects for the end user who is invoking it].
- 7. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior arts teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims 1, and 17. Claims 2-16 and 18-32 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth in this office action below.
- 8. Applicants still have failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a patentable distinction over cited prior arts. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-32 are rejected below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2155

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21, 23-29 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Hayes, Jr.**, U.S. Patent No. **6,339,826**, in view of **Gupta et al.** (hereinafter Gupta) U.S. Patent No. **6,868,448**.
- 11. As to claim 1, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for utilizing personal information to customize an application program, comprising the steps of:

receiving personal information from a user corresponding to a unique user identity, wherein the personal information includes at least one of the user's: surname; given name; address; set of initials; telephone number; and firm name (col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 30, col. 6, lines 57-col. 8, lines 5, col. 19, lines 18-26 –the server receives user's modified profile from the user);

creating a user record for each unique user identity including the personal information (col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 4, col. 6, lines 57-col. 8, lines 5, col. 14, lines 7-49 –user's profile and preferences are generated and stored in database 212);

storing multiple user records with personal information that corresponds to a plurality of unique user identifies (col. 9, lines 6-12, col. 14, lines 7-67, col. 15, lines 24-

Art Unit: 2155

36 -storing user's profiles and preferences including user name (ID) and password in database 212); and

upon identifying the unique user identity applicable to execution of an application program that is included in the plurality of application programs, sharing the personal information corresponding with the unique user identity with the application program, wherein the personal information is applied to customize an output of the application program (abstract, col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 5, col. 11, line 66-col. 12, lines 67, col. 13, line 1-col. 14, line 67, col. 15, lines 37-58 —upon receiving request from user, server provides a list of software to which user has permitted to access corresponding to user's preferences).

However, Hayes, Jr. does not explicitly teach the feature of each of the user records being accessible by plurality of application programs.

Gupta teaches the feature of login, client's profiles or profile services can be shared/accessed by multiple applications (col. 7, lines 6-18, col. 12, line 48-col. 13, line 14, col. 18, lines 22-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Gupta into the system of Hayes, Jr. to include the feature of client's profile/profile service (i.e., user record) being accessible or shared by multiple applications because it would have provided an efficient system to allow accessing resources from local server without using signed applets and allow applets to share services in the network.

Art Unit: 2155

12. As to claim 2, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising the steps of:

in response to receiving new personal information corresponding to the unique user identity, retrieving the user record including the personal information (col. 12, lines 33-59, col. 17, lines 65-col. 18, lines 30);

modifying the user record including the personal information with the new personal information (col. 12, lines 33-59, col. 14, lines 7-67); and

sharing the new personal information with the application program, wherein the new personal information is applied to an output of the application program (abstract, col. 14, lines 4-67, col. 15, lines 37-58).

13. As to claim 3, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising the steps of :

in response to receiving new personal information corresponding to a new unique user identity, creating a user record including the new personal information corresponding to the new unique user identity (col. 7, lines 54-col. 8, lines 5, col. 14, lines 7-col. 15, lines 36); and

sharing the new personal information corresponding to the new unique user identity with the application program, wherein the new personal information corresponding to the new unique user identity is applied to an output of the application program (abstract, col. 14, lines 4-67, col. 15, lines 37-58).

14. As to claim 4, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising the steps of :

in response to receiving a change in user identity, retrieving the user record corresponding to the changed user identity (col. 7, lines 54-col. 8, lines 5, col. 14, lines 7-col. 15, lines 36); and

sharing personal information associated with the user record corresponding to the changed user identity with the application program, wherein the personal information associated with the user record corresponding to the changed user identity is applied to an output of the application program (abstract, col. 14, lines 4-67, col. 15, lines 37-58).

- 15. As to claim 5, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising the step of storing the user record in a framework identity database (figure 2).
- 16. As to claim 7, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the step of receiving personal information corresponding to a unique user identity further comprises receiving the personal information through a user interface (figure 2).
- 17. As to claim 8, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the step of receiving personal information corresponding to a unique user identity further comprises the step of receiving one of new and previously stored personal information

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/883,585

Art Unit: 2155

from one of a computer and a network (col. 7, lines 54-col. 8, lines 5, col. 14, lines 7-col. 15, lines 36).

- 18. As to claim 9, Hayes. Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the step of sharing the personal information with the application program further comprises the step of customizing an output of the application program using the personal information (abstract, col. 14, lines 4-67, col. 15, lines 37-58).
- 19. As to claim 10, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the user interface comprises at least one of: a graphical user interface, a mouse, a keyboard, a touch-sensitive display screen, voice recognition interface (figures 1-2).
- 20. As to claim 11, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the personal information comprises at least one of: a user name, an address, a telephone number, a picture, a speech pattern, a preference, and a list (col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 4, col. 14, lines 50-67).
- 21. As to claim 12, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the personal information comprises at least one of: a dictionary, an auto-correct list, a menu option, dialog layout, a dictionary setting, a grammar setting, a help list, and a user preference list (figures 12-24).

Art Unit: 2155

- 22. As to claim 13, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the output comprises at least one of: a document, a template, a wizard, a command, a tab, a preference, and a feature (figures 12-24).
- 23. As to claim 15, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the application program comprises a plurality of application modules (col. 9, lines 5-12).
- 24. As to claim 16, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the step of receiving personal information comprises the step of receiving personal information from a second application program (col. 7, lines 54-col. 8, lines 5, col. 14, lines 7-col. 15, lines 36).
- 25. As to claim 17, Hayes, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, including a computer system for utilizing personal information to customize an application program comprising:

a memory for storing an application program, machine instructions, and a framework identity database (figure 2 –database 212); and

a processor functionally coupled to the memory for executing the machine instructions and response thereto, being operable for: receiving personal information from a user corresponding to a unique user identity, wherein the personal information includes at least one of the user's" surname; given name; address; set of initials;

Art Unit: 2155

telephone number; and firm name (col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 30, col. 6, lines 57-col. 8, lines 5 - the server receives user's modified profile from the user);

creating a user record for each unique user identity including the personal information (col. 1, lines 56-col. 2, lines 4, col. 6, lines 57-col. 8, lines 5 –user's profile and preferences are generated and stored in database 212);

storing multiple user records with personal information that corresponds to a plurality of unique user identities (col. 9, lines 6-12, col. 14, lines 7-67, col. 15, lines 24-36 - storing user's profiles and preferences including user name (ID) and password in database 212); and

upon identifying the unique user identity applicable to execution of an application program included in the plurality of application programs, sharing the personal information corresponding with the unique user identity with the application program, wherein the personal information is applied to customize an output of the application program (abstract, col. 14, lines 4-67, col. 15, lines 37-58 -upon receiving request from user, server provides a list of software to which user has permitted to access corresponding to user's preferences).

However, Hayes, Jr. does not explicitly teach the feature of each of the user records being accessible by plurality of application programs.

Gupta teaches the feature of login, client's profiles or profile services can be shared/accessed by multiple applications (col. 7, lines 6-18, col. 12, line 48-col. 13, line 14, col. 18, lines 22-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of

Art Unit: 2155

Gupta into the system of Hayes, Jr. to include the feature of client's profile/profile service (i.e., user record) being accessible or shared by multiple applications because it would have provided an efficient system to allow accessing resources from local server without using signed applets and allow applets to share services in the network.

26. As to claim 18-21, 23-29, and 31-32, they are system claims directed for utilizing personal information to customize an application program of method claims 2-5, 7-13 and 15-16. Claims 18-21, 23-29, and 31-32 have similar limitations to claims 2-5, 7-13 and 15-16; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 28. Claims 6, 14, 22, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Hayes, Jr.**, in view of **Alfred et al.** (hereinafter Alfred) U.S. Publication No. 2003/0120496.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,585 Page 12

Art Unit: 2155

- 29. As to claim 6, Hayes, Jr. does not explicitly teach the invention as claimed; however, Alfred teaches wherein the step of receiving personal information further comprises, sending the personal information to a shared code library for one or more application programs, wherein the shared code library sends the personal information to the framework identity database (paragraphs 0016, 0018, 0024-0025). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hayes, Jr. and Alfred to have sending the personal information to a shared code library wherein the shared code library sends the personal information to the framework identity database because it would have an efficient communications system that can store the user profile in the memory and based on that user profile to permit and/or provide user access application programs.
- 30. As to claim 14, Hayes, Jr. does not explicitly teach the invention as claimed; however, Alfred teaches wherein the application program comprises at least one of the following group: word processor, an electronic spreadsheet, a graphical presentation program, an electronic personal information manager, or an electronic mail program (paragraph 0016). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hayes, Jr. and Alfred to have the same motivation as set forth in claim 6, supra.
- 31. As to claim 22 and 30, they are system claims directed for utilizing personal information to customize an application program of method claims 6 and 14.

Claims 22 and 30 have similar limitations to claims 6 and 14; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

## Conclusion

- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a) Howe et al. (USPN 6,917,958), discloses system and method for dynamic distribution of system file and system registry changes in a distributed data processing system.
- b) Dutcher et al. (USPN 6,347,331), discloses system and method to update a windows registry from a heterogeneous server.
- c) George et al. (USPN 6,823,376), discloses system and method for capturing and storing system changes for application to multiple users and systems in a heterogeneous server environment.
- d) Kidder et al. (USPN 2004/0031030), discloses system and method for signatures for facilitating hot upgrades of modular software components.
- e) Chaudhuri (USPN 2005/0038876), discloses system and method for instant match based on location, presence, personalization and communication.
- f) Perry et al. (USPN 2005/0198247), discloses system and method for granular management of network resources.
- 33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2155

272-3989. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Najjar Saleh, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thu Ha Nguyen

September 22, 2005

Thichanguym